## Property Services – Security and Repairs

## Appendix A - SWAP Agreed Action Plan

| Finding  | Recommendation                     | Priority<br>Rating | Management Response  | Responsible<br>Officer  | Implementation<br>Date             |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|
| Objective: To gain reasonable repair.  | assurance that the Council's build | ings are prote     | cted from damage through unauth  | orised access or be   | cause of the state of              |
| 1. Buildings are not secure.   |                                    |                    |  |   |                                    |
| 1.1a There is no formal key<br>handling and lock changing<br>guidance available. |                                    | 3                  | Service managers are<br>responsible for ensuring any<br>leavers hand over ID passes and<br>property keys which are to be<br>returned to Property Services.<br>These rules will be confirmed in<br>new guidance notes<br>There have been no issues to<br>date that have warranted an<br>unnecessary expense of<br>changing locks periodically but<br>guidance will be issued when this<br>action will be necessary. | Property and<br>Engineering<br>Services<br>Manager/Princi<br>pal Property<br>Management<br>Officer. | 30 <sup>th</sup> September<br>2016 |

| Finding   | Recommendation  | Priority<br>Rating | Management Response  | Responsible<br>Officer  | Implementation<br>Date     |  |  |  |
|---|---|--------------------|--|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2. Buildings fall into disrepair.   |   |                    |  |   |                            |  |  |  |
| 2.1a Annual Condition Surveys<br>are not on schedule.   | I recommend that the Property<br>and Engineering Services<br>Manager ensures that condition<br>surveys are conducted annually<br>for all SSDC properties.   | 4                  | Agree there has been some<br>slippage in the condition surveys<br>with the surveyors being<br>involved in other projects. This<br>will be addressed and surveys<br>allocated to various staff and<br>monitored with specific<br>timescales | Property and<br>Engineering<br>Services<br>Manager/Princi<br>pal Property<br>Management<br>Officer. | 31 <sup>st</sup> July 2016 |  |  |  |
| 2.1b Condition Survey reports do<br>not definitively state what<br>actions are required and when<br>they will take place. Condition<br>Survey reports are not updated<br>to record changes and/or<br>slippages to the plan. | I recommend that the Property<br>and Engineering Services<br>Manager ensures that condition<br>surveys are regularly reviewed<br>and updated to definitively state<br>what action is required and<br>when this action is due, and to<br>record any changes/slippages to<br>the plan.<br>SWAP Ref: 32459 | 4                  | Agree. Condition survey forms<br>are being amended to reflect<br>present status at time of survey<br>and when works are to be<br>scheduled. Comments to be<br>added to state reasons for any<br>delays                                     | Property and<br>Engineering<br>Services<br>Manager/Princi<br>pal Property<br>Management<br>Officer. | 31 <sup>st</sup> July 2016 |  |  |  |

| Finding   | Recommendation   | Priority<br>Rating | Management Response  | Responsible<br>Officer   | Implementation<br>Date        |
|---|--|--------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|
| 2.2a The existing 'Property<br>Services Request' (PSR) system<br>will soon be replaced by the new<br>'HEAT' system, which amongst<br>other improvements, is intended<br>to improve repair monitoring<br>capabilities. | I recommend that the Property<br>and Engineering Services<br>Manager ensures that in<br>advance of the implementation<br>of the new 'HEAT' system, checks<br>are carried out to gain assurance<br>that those issues previously<br>identified with the existing 'PSR'<br>system have been rectified and<br>that appropriate training on the<br>use of the new 'HEAT' system<br>has been administered. | 3                  | This is happening at present and<br>system will not be allowed to<br>replace the existing PR system<br>until we are satisfied it is fit for<br>purpose   | Principal<br>Property<br>Management<br>Officer/Property<br>Management<br>Officer.                        | 31 <sup>st</sup> August 2016  |
| 2.3a It is not always clear to<br>Property Services how repair<br>responsibility has been<br>delegated for SSDC properties<br>occupied by tenants and when<br>tenancies are coming to an end.                         | I recommend that the Property<br>and Engineering Services<br>Manager ensures that the<br>Estates Asset Management<br>system is utilised in order to view<br>repair responsibilities for let<br>SSDC properties and to inform<br>staff of tenancy end dates.  | 3                  | Agree. Intention to liaise with<br>the senior land and property<br>officer on best practice to ensure<br>tenancy agreements are known<br>along with individual<br>responsibilities<br>Potential training and access to<br>the Estate Asset Management<br>system to be investigated | Property and<br>Engineering<br>Services<br>Manager/<br>Senior Land and<br>Property Officer<br>(Estates). | 31 <sup>st</sup> October 2016 |